
From: Hong, Seung Yen (CPC)
Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2019 10:41 AM
To: Exline, Susan (CPC); Lutenski, Leigh (ECN)
Subject: FW: SF Planning Commission June 13th Agenda - Comments / A.Goodman D11

ISOEMFORWARDED: -1

Seung-Yen
Tel: 415 575 9026

From: CPC-Commissions Secretary
Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2019 10:40 AM
To: Richards, Dennis (CPC) <dennis.richards@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Johnson, Milicent (CPC) <milicent.johnson@sfgov.org>; Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (CPC) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Rich Hillis <richhillissf@gmail.com>
Cc: Feliciano, Josephine (CPC) <josephine.feliciano@sfgov.org>; Sanchez, Diego (CPC) <diego.sanchez@sfgov.org>; Woods, Mary (CPC) <mary.woods@sfgov.org>; Hong, Seung Yen (CPC) <seungyen.hong@sfgov.org>; Chan, Celina (CPC) <celina.chan@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: SF Planning Commission June 13th Agenda - Comments / A.Goodman D11

Jonas P. Ionin,
Director of Commission Affairs

Planning Department | City & County of San Francisco
1650 Mission Street, Suite 400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 415-558-6309 | Fax: 415-558-6409

jonas.ionin@sfgov.org
www.sfplanning.org

From: Aaron Goodman <amgodman@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 11, 2019 11:27 PM
To: CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>
Subject: SF Planning Commission June 13th Agenda - Comments / A.Goodman D11

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

SF Planning Commissioners

As I am unable to attend I would like to submit comments on the following items;

4. 2015-007816CUA Divisadero Project

I would like to submit my concerns that this project does not meet the affordable RENTAL housing needs of SF residents, or show a solid proposal for green-garden rental apartment communities. We can and should do better in SF for the development of urban blocks and open space creation.

5. 2018-013861PCAMAP OCEANVIEW LARGE RESIDENCE SPECIAL USE DISTRICT

I have sincere concerns about the proposal, and the need to address impacts on communities due to lacking investment and projects scaled for the density proposed. With each additional year we see more housing being pumped into districts, some legally and some illegally. The lacking services leads to overstuffed bus systems lacking investment in public systems, overflowing trash cans, and damaged parks, libraries and infrastructure. It is critical to ensure investment in public spaces, pools, parks, and of the size and scale needed for the growth proposed. Please think cumulatively on growth impacts domino effect of development and the lacking infrastructural and systems improvements needed from power, sewer water and waste, transit open space, and essential rental housing / social housing needs for all areas. We can see density of varying scales but only when systems are also invested in for the future. (Resident of D11) see prior email sent on this proposal and concerns. Many of the homes in D11 are already seeing mission styled flipping, and redevelopment to obscene and ugly designs. We need to stop the destruction of sound housing.

7. CONNECTSF – Informational Presentation

The city needs a bigger vision, not just size but in how we approach public transit, for the future, and how to fix existing systems to make a network that works. With the eventual departure of Ed Reiskin, it is imperative that the Connect SF program also be much more open and transparent, going out to the communities and organizations who discuss transit policy, CSFN, WOTPCC, SF Tomorrow.org, TAOSF, Save Muni, Walk SF, and other groups to ensure public input is heard from neighborhoods, not just presentations to planning staff, but open eared transit agencies that absorb and initiate changes based on public input. We still have not seen the Balboa Park Station Area Plan CAC reinvigorated to deal with massive growth impacts. That coupled with item #5 and other items in D7/D11 at Balboa Reservoir, require more inventive solutions by Connect SF now and up front vs 10-20+ years in the future. Please require the SFMTA to meet with organizations on transit policy and solve for the largest common good in these proposals.

8. 2017-016313CWP PUBLIC LAND FOR HOUSING AND BALBOA RESERVOIR

Although I am concerned about the un-coordinated efforts by CCSF, and the housing development at the reservoir, (in a similar vein to Parkmerced and SFSU-CSU) the joint impacts must be tempered with adequate transit policy and infrastructural changes. I have submitted memos prior, and attended the Balboa Reservoir meetings and still feel this is the best current option being proposed, however needs such as power generation, adequate direct connection to the Balboa Reservoir, and improved intersection safety for pedestrians and bikes should traverse CCSF from the reservoir to improve connection to the major 2nd hub in SF Balboa Park Station. See examples of "high-lines" for topographical solutions that can directly link to the Tony Sacco Way and over the freeway at CCSF's eastern edge, along with an east side CCSF garage that can service the CCSF and Balboa Reservoir community by designing an off-ramp from the freeway, with a commuter e-rail system across the two sites. Make both sites improved for all.

Thank you for reading these comments, and would be happy to meet to discuss with you outside the commission meetings individually on the possible solutions and options.

Aaron Goodman D11 SF Resident

Balboa Park Station Area Plan CAC (former chair 2+ years)
SF Tomorrow Board Member (Transit / Housing / Environment)

